Maine Climate Council

Coastal and Marine Working Group Meeting

Co-Chairs:

Carl Wilson, Department of Marine Resources Curt Brown, Ready Seafood Company







maine department of Environmental Protection

March 19, 2023

Welcome

- If you are a working group member:
 - please rename yourselves and add <u>*asterisk in front of your name</u>
- If you are a member of the public and / or interested state staff
 - please rename yourselves to include "public participant"
- Everyone's voices are valuable
 - We will take working group member comments / questions first
 - Public participants, please add your comments and questions to the chat and we will make time to get to them



Meeting Agenda

12:15 Welcome & Updates

Co-Chairs Carl Wilson (ME DMR) and Curt Brown (Ready Seafood)Laura Taylor Singer (Facilitator)

- **12:15** "Tools and approaches to implement climate adaptation in Maine's coastal and marine socio-ecological systems" Dr. Michelle Staudinger (UMaine, Darling Marine Center)
- **12:45** SEA Maine Roadmap Linking to CMWG Discussions *Co-chair Curt Brown*

1:00-1:30 Lunch (Thank you Island Institute)

- **1:30** Overview of Subcommittee Work Subcommittee co-chairs
- **2:10** Pulling the subcommittee ideas together Co-chair Carl Wilson and Jes Waller (DMR)
- **3:10** Priority setting exercise
- **3:20** Outline for next steps of the Working Group and Public Comment Period
- 4:00 Adjourn



Tools and approaches to implement climate adaptation in Maine's coastal and marine socio-ecological systems

March 19, 2024 Maine Climate Council – Coastal and Marine Working Group

Michelle Staudinger

Associate Professor, University of Maine, Darling Marine Center





CMWG Strategies, subgroups -

- Fisheries & Aquaculture
- Monitoring



Using natural infrastructure to increase coastal resilience to SLR and storms



Underutilized seafood species and evaluating their market potential in a changing climate





Links to CMWG updates to MWW Strategies

Conduct climate vulnerability assessments and develop strategies to monitor, protect, restore and manage Maine's coastal and marine resources

State Wildlife Action Plans (SWAPs)

- Proactive, comprehensive wildlife conservation strategies that asses the health, challenges, and potential actions of each State
- Mandate by Congress to receive funding through Wildlife Conservation and Restoration, and State and Tribal Wildlife Grants (SWG) Programs
- Revised every 10 years (2015, 2025)
- Challenged to incorporate climate change into current revisions

NEAFWA Region



Regional Species of Greatest Conservation Need (RSGCN)

- Threats and actions support socioecological planning processes across local to regional scales
- RSGCN are characterized by needing:
 - High conservation attention
 - Prioritized investments
 - \circ Communication
 - \circ Proactive actions
- 418 NE RSGCN w/ ~60 marine and coastal species





Barriers to Incorporating Climate Change

- Too much information, too little information, information at the wrong scale
- Lack of guidance, expertise, decision support
- Capacity financial and personnel constraints
- Overwhelmed by uncertainty



Northeast regional climate synthesis



Understand how key drivers are changing and where the greatest change is occurring

Chapter 2



Review available information on species-specific responses to climate

Chapter 3



■ 1. Most Vulnerable ■ 2. Vulnerable ■ 3. Stable

Determine if a CCVA has been conducted for RSGCN of interest; if yes, where, when, and under what conditions





Explore case studies and find inspiration for implementation





Revise an existing action or develop a new action using menus and other tools

Opportunities to learn more

Anticipated Release date: late March 2024

Upcoming presentations:

NE CASC Webinar, Wed. April 10th @ 4:00 PM ET

NEAFWA special session, Wed. April 24th, 8:00 AM-12:00 PM ET, Incorporating Climate Change into Northeastern SWAPs

A REGIONAL SYNTHESIS OF CLIMATE DATA TO INFORM THE 2025 STATE WILDLIFE ACTION PLANS IN THE NORTHEAST U.S. 2024 Staudinger, M.D., A. Karmalkar, K. Terwilliger, K. Burgio, A. Lubeck, H. Higgins, T. Rice, T.L. Morelli, A. D'Amato



Links to CMWG updates to MWW Strategies

Prioritize actions that increase climate resilience and climate literacy

Using natural infrastructure to increase coastal resilience

Tidal marsh





Beaches & barrier islands



Biogenic reefs



 Information on natural infrastructure - green and "soft"approaches to coastal management and restoration (vs grey/hard approaches)

- Ecosystem services and costbenefit values to socio-ecological communities
- Case studies of implementation

Powell et al. 2018. Journal of Coastal Conservation

Benefits of natural infrastructure

provisioning (♦), regulating (੦), cultural (□), supporting (●)

Adaptation Actions to Enhance Resilience to SLR and Storms	Ecological Benefits	Human Community Benefits
Tidal flow restoration / salt marsh restoration	 Restores natural functioning Increases water filtration Helps reduce marsh subsidence Improves drainage to minimize flooding Supports native vegetation while decreasing spread of invasive species Improves habitat quality for a diversity of marsh-dependent species Moderates, restores natural salinity 	 Increases flood storage capacity Preserves natural storm defenses Improves water quality Enhances climate mitigation through blue carbon sequestration and storage Supports eco-tourism through fishing, hunting and wildlife viewing Provides habitat for recreational/commercial species

Ecosystem service valuation

Habitat Type	Benefits	Valuation (monetary or non-monetary)
Biogenic Reefs	Storm protection services provided by coral reefs	Reduce wave energy by 97% over ~800 meters, or a 64% reduction in wave height
	Cost-benefit ratio of oyster reef services	Economic value from restored reefs btw \$5,500 and \$99,000 per hectare per year; restoration costs recovered in 2-14 years
	Recreational benefits	Marine reserves increase visitation by 43- 80% (trips) and value/trip by 69%, with related management costs of only ~1-2%

Powell et al. 2018. Journal of Coastal Conservation

DECEMBER 2020

Links to CMWG updates to MWW Strategies

Increase the amount of food consumed in Maine from state food producers from 10% to 20% by 2025 and 30% by 2030 through local food system development and marketing

Building resilience with adaptive markets

STEP 1: Underutilized Species Evaluation Criteria

- Allowed to be landed
- Not overfished
- Not experiencing overfishing
- Population at or above target levels;
- ≤ 50% annual catch limit caught in last 3-5 years



- Sea Scallops
- Atlantic Herring *
- Skates
- Atlantic Salmon
- Northeast Multispecies
 - $\circ \ \, \text{Atlantic Cod}$
 - o Haddock
 - Yellowtail
 Flounder
 - o Pollock
 - o American Plaice
 - Witch Flounder
 - White Hake
 - Windowpane
 Flounder
 - Winter Flounder *
 - o Acadian Redfish
 - o Atlantic Halibut
 - o Atlantic Wolffish
 - o Ocean Pout
- Small-Mesh Multispecies
 - o Silver Hake
 - Red Hake
 - Offshore Hake

r	
Jointly Manager	. .
Jointry Munuged	' •
Spiny	•
Dogfish *	.

- Monkfish
- Illex Squid

•

Scup *

• Longfin Squid

Bluefish *

MID-ATLANTIC MANAGEMENT

Black Sea Bass *

Atlantic Mackerel

Summer Flounder*

- Butterfish
- Golden Tilefish
- Blueline Tilefish



Building resilience with adaptive markets



- Acadian redfish
- Atlantic pollock
- Butterfish
- Haddock (GB & GB-east stocks)
- Scup (only Winter II harvest season)
- Silver hake (Northern stock)
- White hake

Davis, Staudinger, Mills. 2023. Frontiers in Marine Science

Building resilience with adaptive markets

STEP 1: Underutilized Species Evaluation Criteria

- Allowed to be landed
- Not overfished
- Not experiencing overfishing
- Population at or above target levels;
- ≤ 50% annual catch limit caught in last 3-5 years

OUTPUT: Underutilized Species

- Acadian Redfish
- Atlantic Pollock
- Butterfish
- Haddock
- Scup
- Silver Hake
- White Hake



- Directionality
- Habitat Availability

Davis, Staudinger, Mills. 2023. Frontiers in Marine Science



Biological Sensitivity

Allyn et al. (2020)

Building resilience with adaptive markets



Davis, Staudinger, Mills. 2023. Frontiers in Marine Science

WHITE HAKF

UNDERUTILIZED STATUS

- >50% annual catch limit used in 3/5 yrs (2015-2019)
- Stock status was below target population level
- Overfished



Unqualified TBD LANDINGS & VALUE

- Data from 2012-2021
- 3,029,000 (2012) 6,129,000 (2016) lbs
- Ex-vessel price = \$0.92 / lb(2020) - \$1.56 / lb in 2016





IN A CHANGING CLIMATE



Most Sensitive Biological

- Population Growth Rate
- Spawning Cycle
- Stock Status

Attributes

Observed Changes in Behavior

- Poleward Movements
- Shifted Into Deeper Water
- Decreased Abundance in Warming Water



EXPANDING MARKETS

Expanding market opportunities for white hake is not recommended at this time since the most recent stock assessment suggests that white hake are overfished and below target population level. Market opportunity recommendations for white hake could be reviewed again after the 2023 evaluation and after a new stock assessment is released.

Davis, Staudinger, Mills. 2023. Frontiers in Marine Science

2024 CMWG Subcommittees

Fisheries/Aquaculture Ben Martens, Jes Waller Working Waterfronts/Infrastructure Nick Batista, Bill Needleman Monitoring Becca Peters, Ivy Frignoca Coastal & Marine Habitats/Blue Carbon

Jeremy Gabrielson, Curtis Bohlen







maine department of
Environmental Protection

March 19, 2024

Fisheries and Aquaculture

Long-term data for industry 2) Ecosystem approaches
 Evaluate policy and regulatory structures

Adding these industries into existing MWW recommendations

 Assessing industry and resource vulnerabilities

 Focusing resilience and technical assistance in specific communities

 DMR to provide industry with pathways to fishery diversification
 Increase local seafood marketing, "30 by 30"



Monitoring Subgroup Recommendations

- Focused on updating Strategy E Recommendation 4 and associated actions
- Major themes:
 - Need funding and staff support to enhance and continue ongoing and new monitoring programs
 - Continue public/private collaborations
 - Need to identify data gaps and create new monitoring programs to fill those gaps.
 - Take into account where resources should be targeted to fill data gaps for priority populations.
 - Need coordinating hubs that can serve as a place to report, share, and exchange data at varying scales needed for decision making.

STRATEGY E Recommendation 4 – Enhance ongoing monitoring and data collection that provide baseline data to guide informed decision making, and create new monitoring programs to fill data gaps.

- Establish a "coordinating hub" with state and non-state partners for key climate change research and monitoring work to facilitate statewide collaboration by 2024
- Establish or enhance relevant coordinating hubs that provide sustainable, publicly available platforms for identifying, sharing, reporting, and exchanging data at the scales needed to make management, resilience, and policy decisions to address climate change in the coastal and marine ecosystems.
- Create the framework and begin pilot for a coordinated, comprehensive monitoring system by 2024
- Incorporate climate research and climate change-related technologies into Maine's research and development priorities such as those developed by the Maine Innovation Economy Advisory Board and the Maine Technology Institute
- Support state agencies and non-state partners ongoing and new long-term monitoring efforts and collaborations focused on characterizing changes to coastal and marine ecosystems due to climate change.
- Evaluate monitoring needs and capacity, and target resources to fill data gaps for priority populations.

Monitoring Subgroup Recommendations

- After Strategy E Recommendation 4 was updated we focused on Recommendation 1 and 3
- Major themes:
 - Need increased technology and lab support to analyze data and samples.
 - Need to identify and inventory coastal and marine habitats most vulnerable to climate change and then develop strategies to invest in these habitats.

 STRATEGY E Recommendation 1 – Protect Natural and Working Lands and Waters, including coastal and marine habitats and waters Prioritize the identification, monitoring, an conservation of critical habitat areas to support land and water connectivity, ecosystem health, and resilience. Identify and create an inventory of coastal and marine habitats most vulnerable to climate change by either using current hab climate vulnerability assessments or by conducting a state specific coastal and mar habitat climate vulnerability assessment. Develop strategies to invest in coastal and marine habitats through increased monitoring, policy, restoration, protection, and management. 		STRATEGY E Recommendation 3 – Expand Outreach to Offer Information and Technical Assistance	•	Expand Maine's analytical and technical services to increase its capacities to collect, process, and analyze samples and data to better understand the impacts of climate change. Launch the Coastal and Marine Information Exchange by 2024
waterfronts and coastal access.	I	STRATEGY E Recommendation 1 – Protect Natural and Working Lands and Waters, including coastal and marine habitats and waters	•	Prioritize the identification, monitoring, and conservation of critical habitat areas to support land and water connectivity, ecosystem health, and resilience. Identify and create an inventory of coastal and marine habitats most vulnerable to climate change by either using current habitat climate vulnerability assessments or by conducting a state specific coastal and marine habitat climate vulnerability assessment. Develop strategies to invest in coastal and marine habitats through increased monitoring, policy, restoration, protection, and management. Inventory, map, and track changes to working waterfronts and coastal access.

Discussion

- Are there any immediate concerns about how these strategies were combined?
- Are there any further suggestions for areas of overlap?
- Is there anything we've missed?
- Other thoughts?



Potential Themes

- Supporting pathways to adaptation of Maine's fisheries, aquaculture and seafood industries. [This is mainly Strategy D and focus of F&A group]
- 2. Seeking opportunities to conserve and increase resilience of coastal and marine ecosystems. [Mostly Strategy E and monitoring, BCH group]
- Investing in building healthy and resilient coastal communities and critical place-based infrastructure. [Strategy F and G – working waterfronts/communities]



Coastal and Marine Working Group Schedule



Next Steps

April TBD - Ad hoc discussion on lowering carbon footprint on the water: Email Laura by Friday (3/22) at <u>LSinger@cbi.org</u> if interested

> Tuesday, April 23rd, 9:00am - 12:00pm

- Location: Darling Marine Center, Walpole
- Breakout into groups to continue to address questions in template
- o Update from UMaine on engagement work (Tentative)

> Wednesday, May 15th, 9:00am - 12:00pm

 \circ Location: TBD

Google Drive for CMWG <u>https://tinyurl.com/48arx8hc</u>

